

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/899,815	07/09/2001	Lars Lannfelt	LANNFELTIA	9645
1444	7590 07/03/2002			
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			EXAMINER	
624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			CHERNYSHEV, OLGA N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	
			DATE MAILED: 07/03/2002	14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u></u>			
A SANCAR	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Offic Action Summary	09/899,815	LANNFELT ET AL.			
Onic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAIL INC DATE of this communication and	Olga N. Chernyshev	1646			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum strony period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-7</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-3, 8-21 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 Û.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1646

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Sequence compliance

- 1. This application contains sequence disclosures that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.821 (a)(1) and (a)(2). However, this application fails to comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 1.821 through 1.825. Specifically, no sequence identification has been provided ,which includes the amino acid sequence presented in claim 2 of the instant specification. Applicant needs to provide a substitute computer readable form (CRF) copy of a "Sequence Listing" which includes all of the sequences that are present in the instant application and encompassed by these rules, a substitute paper copy of that "Sequence Listing", an amendment directing the entry of that paper copy into the specification, and a statement that the content of the paper and computer readable copies are the same and, where applicable, include no new matter, as required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.821 (e) or 1.821(f) or 1.821(g) or 1.825(b) or 1.825(d). The instant specification will also need to be amended so that it complies with 37 C.F.R. § 1.821(d) which requires a reference to a particular sequence identifier (SEQ ID NO: ) be made in the specification and claims wherever a reference is made to that sequence. Applicant is advised to check the entire specification for sequence compliance. For rules interpretation Applicant may call (703)308-1123. See M.P.E.P. 2422.04.
- 2. Claims 6 and 8 are not in compliance with the requirements for Sequence Identifiers (see MPEP 2422.03). Specifically, the proper presentation of a sequence identifier is SEQ ID NO:X. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/899,815 Page 3

Art Unit: 1646

# Claim Objections

3. Claims 4-7 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim 3 cannot serve as a basis for any other multiple dependent claims 4-7. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 4-7 have not been further treated on the merits. Claims 1-3 and 8-21 are under examination in the instant office action.

#### Election/Restrictions

- 4. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-3, drawn to use of a non-wild type protofibril for treatment of
     Alzheimer's disease, classified in class 514, subclass 2, for example.
  - II. Claims 8, 15, drawn to a peptide, classified in class 530, subclass 300, for example.
  - III. Claims 9-11, drawn to a nucleic acid, vector and host cell, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1, for example.
  - IV. Claim 12, drawn to a transgenic animal, classified in class 800, subclass 12, for example.
  - V. Claim 13, drawn to a transgenic animal comprising a vector comprising APP gene, classified in class 800, subclass 12, for example.
  - VI. Claim 14, drawn to antibodies, classified in class 424, subclass 130.1, for example.
  - VII. Claim 16, drawn to use of Aβ peptide, classified in class undetermined, subclass undetermined, for example.

Art Unit: 1646

VIII. Claims 17-21, drawn to a method for treatment of Alzheimer's disease, classified in class 424, subclass 139.1, for example.

Page 4

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 5. Inventions I, VII and VIII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are directed to different methods that recite structurally and functionally distinct elements, are not required one for the other, achieve different goals, and therefore constitute patentably distinct inventions.
- 6. Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the nucleic acids of Group III and polypeptides of Group II are distinct inventions because they are physically and functionally distinct chemical entities, and the protein product can be made by another and materially different process, such as by synthetic peptide synthesis or purification from the natural source. Further, the DNA may be used for the processes other than the production of the protein, such as nucleic acid hybridization assay.
- 7. Inventions III and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are directed to products that are distinct both physically and functionally, are not

Art Unit: 1646

required one for the other, and are therefore patentably distinct. Further, antibodies of Group VI can also be used in materially different methods, such as in various diagnostic (e.g. as a probe in immunoassays or immunochromatography), or therapeutic methods.

- 8. Inventions II and VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polypeptides of Group II and antibodies of Group VI are distinct inventions because they are physically and functionally distinct chemical entities, and because the protein can be used in another and entirely different process from the use for production of the antibody, such as in a pharmaceutical composition in its own right, or to assay or purify the natural ligand of the protein.
- 9. Inventions (I, VII, VIII) and (III, V, VI) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not required one for the other in that the DNA and transgenic animals of Groups (III, V, VI) are not required for the methods of Groups (I, VII, VIII).
- 10. Inventions V and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different

Page 5

Art Unit: 1646

inventions are not required one for the other, serve different goals and, therefore, are patentably distinct.

11. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, recognized divergent subject matter and non-coextensive literature searches, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

12. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olga N. Chernyshev whose telephone number is (703) 305-1003. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9 AM to 5 PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on (703) 308-6564. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 782-9306 for regular communications and (703) 782-9307 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1646

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600

by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax

center located in Crystal Mall 1 (CM1). The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices

published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December

28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. § 1.6(d)0. NOTE: If Applicant does submit a paper by fax, the original

signed copy should be retained by Applicant or Applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE

COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4556 or (703) 308-4242. If

either of these numbers is out of service, please call the Group receptionist for an alternative

number. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703)

308-0294. Official papers should NOT be faxed to (703) 308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Olga N. Chernyshev, Ph.D.

June 28, 2002

JOHN ULM PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 7